Synthetic 3D diamond-based electrodes for flexible retinal neuroprostheses: Model, production and \textit{in vivo} biocompatibility
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Two retinal implants have recently received the CE mark and one has obtained FDA approval for the restoration of useful vision in blind patients. Since the spatial resolution of current vision prostheses is not sufficient for most patients to detect faces or perform activities of daily living, more electrodes with less crosstalk are needed to transfer complex images to the retina. In this study, we modelled planar and three-dimensional (3D) implants with a distant ground or a ground grid, to demonstrate greater spatial resolution with 3D structures. Using such flexible 3D implant prototypes, we showed that the degenerated retina could mould itself to the inside of the wells, thereby isolating bipolar neurons for specific, independent stimulation. To investigate the \textit{in vivo} biocompatibility of diamond as an electrode or an isolating material, we developed a procedure for depositing diamond onto flexible 3D retinal implants. Taking polyimide 3D implants as a reference, we compared the number of neurones integrating the 3D diamond structures and their ratio to the numbers of all cells, including glial cells. Bipolar neurones were increased whereas there was no increase even a decrease in the total cell number. SEM examinations of implants confirmed the stability of the diamond after its implantation \textit{in vivo}. This study further demonstrates the potential of 3D designs for increasing the resolution of retinal implants and validates the safety of diamond materials for retinal implants and neuroprostheses in general.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Visual prostheses aim to provide blind patients with useful visual information for face and object recognition, as well as the reading of text and orientation in unknown environment. Despite the degeneration of bipolar cells and retinal ganglion cells, the electrical stimulation of retinal implants has been shown, in clinical trials, to be safe, to enable most blind patients to identify contrasted objects, to follow a line or the ground, and, in some cases, to read short words [1–4]. The Argus II device (2nd Sight) has obtained the CE mark and FDA approval, and the Alpha-IMS (Retinal implant AG) has received the CE mark. Preclinical studies are currently evaluating photovoltaic silicon materials [5] or photosensitive polymers [6,7]. Different clinical trials have also demonstrated the ability of suprachoroidal prostheses to activate the degenerated retina when inserted in the space between the sclera and the choroid [8,9]. For patients with retinal ganglion cell degeneration in retinal diseases such as glaucoma or diabetic retinopathy, Brindley and his co-workers have pioneered vision prostheses for a direct activation of the visual cortex [10]. These cortical implants have also allowed patients to recover partial vision, but this visual recovery appears to be transient [11]. Finally, psychophysical experiments have
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indicated that complex visual tasks, such as text reading, orientation in unknown environment or face recognition, would require at least 600 independent pixels [12–14].

The major challenge in visual rehabilitation with neuroprostheses is therefore to increase electrode density whilst increasing the spatial resolution of each electrode, such that each individual electrode generates a pixel. Current retinal prostheses function in a monopolar mode with a distant returning ground, a configuration, for which the spatial distribution of current were investigated electrophysiologically on the chicken retina [15]. However, different electrode configurations were recently described to increase the electrode resolution. For instance, current diffusion can be limited by local return electrodes as in bipolar stimulations using a circular electrode around the stimulating electrode [16]. A quasimonopolar stimulation was also reported to increase the resolution by using a distant return electrode in a plane above hexapolar return electrodes surrounding each stimulating electrode [17]. If the combination of the hexapolar and monopolar stimulations can improve the containment of the activated sites, it increases the threshold level of activation due to the shunting of currents to local return electrodes [17]. In addition, the quasimonopolar stimulations requires current injections at each of the hexapolar electrodes [18]. More recently, ground grid with a high conductivity were found to provide a greater focalization of currents [16]. The ground grid configuration should be preferred for high-density arrays because bipolar and quasimonopolar stimulations would increase the number of connecting wires. 3D implant geometries are also thought to improve electrode resolution in the bipolar or ground grid configurations by locally moving neurons between the stimulating and return electrodes [19–21]. The success of such 3D designs implies that the residual blind retina remains sufficiently plastic to mould itself around the 3D structures. This preservation of the flexibility of the residual blind retina was suggested from studies of pillars penetrating the tissue or cavities to be filled with cells [22]. Neurons were found around pillars and in cavities or wells only if the opening was larger than 20 μm across [19,20].

Increases in electrode density require a decrease in electrode size and, thus, an increase in the charge density to be injected to achieve neuronal activity. This constraint has driven the search for new materials with greater developed surfaces, such as black platinum or iridium oxide [23]. Materials with a broader electrochemical potential window are also being tested to ensure that the safe charge injection limit is not exceeded. One such material, diamond, is considered particularly attractive, as it displays the broadest electrochemical window of any semiconductor provided it is doped with nitrogen or trimethyl boron [24,25]. Nanocrystalline diamond can even be deposited on 3D structures, making it possible to synthesise materials with high aspect ratios and developed structures [26,27]. Diamond electrodes have even been shown to activate retinal neurons [28]. Finally, diamond has been shown to display biocompatibility in vitro with embryonic cortical neurons and stem cells [29–32] and even retinal neurons [33]. However, this biocompatibility of diamond in vitro does not necessarily imply that it would be biocompatible in the long term in vivo, as other biocompatible materials have been shown to induce retinal gliosis, or even fibrosis, in vivo [19]. Gliosis is classically characterized by the multiplication of glial cells and their consecutive hypertrophy while fibrosis was defined in the above study as the formation of a fibrous preretinal membrane, both cellular events resulting in the spacing between retinal neurons and the implant likely to hamper neuronal stimulation.

In this study, we first investigated retinal currents in different 3D electrode configurations for image encoding. Because the results of this modelling study are valid only if neurons integrate the 3D structures, we then produced 3D soft implant to examine the tissue implant interfacing. However, this first study was not intended to validate the 3D modelling by physiological measurements. The fabrication procedure was developed to allow the coating of our 3D implants with diamond, for assessing the in vivo biocompatibility of this material. A specific imaging procedure was also used to preserve the tissue/implant interface, making it possible to assess the biocompatibility of diamond in vivo correctly.

2. Materials & methods

2.1. Modelling

We created finite-element models of four variants of a 25 × 25 stimulating electrode array within a retinal prosthetic system: (i) a planar array with a common counter electrode in the shape of a grid surrounding the stimulating electrodes, (ii) a 3D electrode array with the stimulation electrodes surrounded by a counter electrode grid, again serving as a counter electrode, (iii) a planar electrode array with a distant counter electrode, and (iv) a 3D electrode array with a distant counter electrode. The 3D wells were shaped as inverted pyramids with their points cut off at 30 μm height (well depth), the well opening edge was 72 μm and the well bottom edge was 36 μm. The stimulation electrode was set to be the entire bottom surface, i.e. square with 36 μm edge. The electrode dimensions and shape for the planar electrode were the same as in the 3D array. The inter-electrode distance was 100 μm. The electrical conductivity of the tissue was 0.25 S/m, as in a previous study [20].

For simulation purposes, an image of Abraham Lincoln was cropped and sampled to obtain a square 25 × 25 image, the colour palette of which was then reduced from 256 to three levels: white, grey, and black (Fig. 1A and B). The resulting image was then mapped into a finite-element model, by assigning current densities to the stimulating electrodes proportional to the grey levels in the cropped image: zero for white, 1000 A/m² for grey and 2000 A/m² for black. If we assume a stimulation pulse width of 1 ms, the estimated charge densities would be 0.1 mC/cm² for grey and 0.2 mC/cm² for black. The stimulation electrode was set to be the entire bottom surface, i.e. square with 36 μm edge. The electrode dimensions and shape for the planar electrode were the same as in the 3D array. The inter-electrode distance was 100 μm. The electrical conductivity of the tissue was 0.25 S/m, as in a previous study [20].

For simulation purposes, an image of Abraham Lincoln was cropped and sampled to obtain a square 25 × 25 image, the colour palette of which was then reduced from 256 to three levels: white, grey, and black (Fig. 1A and B). The resulting image was then mapped into a finite-element model, by assigning current densities to the stimulating electrodes proportional to the grey levels in the cropped image: zero for white, 1000 A/m² for grey and 2000 A/m² for black. If we assume a stimulation pulse width of 1 ms, the estimated charge densities would be 0.1 mC/cm² for grey and 0.2 mC/cm² for black. The stimulation electrode was set to be the entire bottom surface, i.e. square with 36 μm edge. The electrode dimensions and shape for the planar electrode were the same as in the 3D array. The inter-electrode distance was 100 μm. The electrical conductivity of the tissue was 0.25 S/m, as in a previous study [20].

2.2. Microfabrication and SEM

Silicon moulds were prepared using KOH wet etching to generate a 3D structure. A sacrificial oxide layer was then generated over the silicon mould by the thermal oxidation of the wafer in a furnace at 1100 °C in the presence of oxygen and hydrogen, until a 1 μm layer of silicon dioxide was achieved. Pt electrodes were produced by patterning sputtered Pt over the silicon moulds by standard photolithography. The substrate was then spin-coated with polyimide (PI 2611) to obtain a 10 μm-thick layer of polymer. The polyimide was then cured at 450 °C under nitrogen flow for 6 h, and a 500 nm-thick aluminium film was sputtered over it. We then spin-coated AZ4562 (Clariant, Muttenz, Switzerland) thick photoresist onto the wafer to define the shape of the implant. After the development step, the wafer was placed in Cl₂ plasma for reactive ion etching (RIE) of the aluminium layer. The unmasked polymer was then etched away with O₂ RIE to achieve the final shape of the implant. The aluminium masking was then removed by wet etching. The wafer was immersed in hydrofluoric acid (HF) to etch the sacrificial oxide layer and release the individual implants. Finally the implants were rinsed in DI water and dried.

The diamond-based implants were produced as follows.
Diamond was selectively grown in silicon moulds as described by Bongrain and coworkers [35]. A microwave plasma enhanced chemical vapour deposition (MPECVD) reactor (Seki AX6500) was used to synthesize diamond in a mixture of methane (CH$_4$) and hydrogen (H$_2$) gases at a microwave power of 3 kW, a gas pressure 25 mbar, and a substrate temperature of about 800 °C. The diamond layer obtained was about 300 nm thick. As for the platinum electrodes, the substrate was then covered with a polyimide film and the process used to define the histological implants was identical to that used for Pt-based implants. Polyimide 3D implants were generated with the same procedure without any previous diamond growth.

The implants were imaged with a ZEISS Supra-40 field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM) operating at an acceleration voltage of 2 kV. The implants were imaged by SEM after the implantation period. The retinas, fixed together with the implants in paraformaldehyde (see below), were peeled off the implant and the implant was dehydrated in a series of alcohol concentrations (50%, 70%, 90% and 100% ethanol).

2.3. In vivo studies

Homozygous P23H rats (line 1, kindly provided by Dr Lavail) were housed with a 12 h dark/12 h light cycle, with food and water available ad libitum. All experiments were carried out in accordance with European Community Council Directives (86/609/EEC) and with the ARVO (Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology) statement for the use of animals in ophthalmic and visual research. Animals were sacrificed by CO$_2$ sedation and cervical dislocation, and all efforts were made to minimize suffering. The surgical procedure used to implant the prototypes has been described in detail elsewhere [36]. Briefly, P23H blind rats were anesthetized by the intraperitoneal injection of a 4:1 mixture of ketamine-xylazine (ketamine 100 mg kg$^{-1}$, xylazine 10 mg kg$^{-1}$; Ketamine 500: Virbac, Carros, France; xylazine 2%: Rompun®, Bayer Pharma, Puteaux, France). A small radial sclerotomy (1.5 mm long) was performed behind the limbus with a slit knife. Viscoat® Intraocular Viscoelastic Injection (Alcon Laboratories, Hünenberg, Switzerland) was injected into the subretinal space through the
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**Fig. 1.** Grey-scale image of Abraham Lincoln with 256 grey-scale levels before (A) and after down sampling to 25 × 25 pixels and reducing the colour palette to 3 grey-scale levels (B). The brightness ranges covered by the 3 intensity levels are shown on the colour bar on the right. Current density profiles along the red line, with the image encoded on a 25 × 25 electrode array, are shown in the next figure. The current densities above the cathode are represented in these four configurations: i) planar electrode array with a returning ground grid (C), ii) 3D electrode array with a returning ground grid (D), iii) planar electrode array with a distant ground (E), iv) 3D electrode array with a distant ground (F). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
sclerotomy, with a 27G cannula, to obtain localised retinal detachment. The implant was then inserted into the subretinal space. Immediately after surgery, the correct positioning of the implant was checked in vivo by indirect ophthalmoscopy (frost and lens). In vivo imaging was performed one week after surgery and then again six weeks later, right before the sacrifice, for observation of the eye fundus by endoscopy. A Micron III digital endoscope (Phoenix Research Laboratories, Pleasanton, California) was used for imaging of the eye fundus, together with StreamPix V software and a rat probe.

2.4. Immunostaining, confocal imaging and quantification

After six weeks, animals were sacrificed by CO2 sedation and cervical elongation. The eyes were removed and placed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 0.1 M, pH 7.4). They were dissected so as to retain only the tissue fragment containing the implant. This fragment was fixed by incubation overnight at 4 °C in paraformaldehyde in PBS (4% wt/vol) and then rinsed in PBS.

For immunolabelling, retinal fragments were incubated in a blocking solution (10% bovine serum albumin (Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri), 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma), 0.5% Tween 20 (Sigma) and 0.1 g/l Thimerosal (Sigma) in PBS) for 1 h at room temperature. They were then incubated for 2 day at room temperature with primary antibodies in blocking solution (dilution 1:2). The antibodies used were a polyclonal antibody directed against rabbit PKCα (C-20) (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, Texas) and a monoclonal antibody directed against mouse Gpx (C-200, Chemicon, Darmstadt, Germany). The fragments were rinsed and then incubated with secondary antibodies: goat anti-mouse IgG and goat anti-rabbit IgG antibodies conjugated to Alexa™594 and Alexa™488, respectively (1:500, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Eugene, Oregon) for one day. Cell nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), which was added during the final incubation period. The implant/retina ensemble was then rinsed and mounted, in permanent mounting medium (MMFrance), on a microscope slide, for viewing under an upright confocal microscope.

Confocal microscopy was performed on an Olympus FV1000 laser-scanning confocal microscope. DAPI counterstaining, AlexaFluor-488 and AlexaFluor-594 and AlexaFluor-647 were detected by excitation with a 405 nm laser diode, a 488 nm argon ion laser, and 559 nm and 635 nm laser diode lines, respectively. The selection of excitation and emission wavelengths was controlled by appropriate filters: a dichroic mirror (405/488/559/635), SDM490, SDM560, and SDM640 emission beamsplitters and BA430-470, BA505-540, BA575-675 and BA655-755 barrier filters. The primary objective used was an Olympus oil immersion UPLSAPO 20X NA 0.85-WD 0.20 or UPLFLN 40X NA1.30-WD 0.20 objective. The microscope and image acquisition were controlled with Olympus Fluoview software version 4.1. Images were acquired at a resolution of 1024 × 1024 pixels, with a scan rate of 10 µs pixel−1, with no zoom (20 × related pixel size: 0.621 µm, 40 × related pixel size: 0.310 µm). Images were acquired sequentially, line-by-line, to minimise the crosstalk between excitation and emission, with a step size defined according to the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem. Exposure settings minimising the number of oversaturated pixels in the final images were used. Twelve-bit images were then processed with ImageJ or FIJI and converted into 24-bit RGB colour mode. The images were then edited with Adobe Photoshop CS5 software and assembled with Adobe Illustrator CS. The presence of bipolar cells within the wells of 3D-structured implants was assessed by determining the ratio of bipolar cells to the total number of cell nuclei per well for each material: each Z-section was preprocessed to retain only the staining located in each well, and the numbers of bipolar cells and of total cells (DAPI-positive counterstaining) were determined. Bipolar cells were counted with the ImageJ cell counter plugin and total nuclei were counted semi-automatically with Imaris software (Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland).

2.5. Statistical analysis

We present results for three polyimide implants, five diamond implants and three platinum implants, based on the values of four to nine wells per implant for quantification. All data are expressed as means ± SEM. The Gaussian distribution of the raw data was tested with a Shapiro–Wilk normality test. One-way ANOVA was then carried out, followed in cases of significance by either a Bonferroni post-hoc test (Gaussian distribution) or Dunns post-hoc test (non-Gaussian distribution), to compare means between groups. Differences were considered significant if *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001.

3. Results

3.1. Models of implant designs

Ground grids and 3D electrode designs have been reported to improve the electrical stimulation of retinal tissues [16,19–21]. Single-electrode models were thus generated to demonstrate the advantage of either a ground grid on a planar substrate [16] or of a 3D well with a ground grid [20]. However, these models were not used to examine the distribution of current in a 3D structure with a distant ground. They were also not used to investigate the distribution of current on an electrode array for image representation. Instead, we examined how a face would be encoded on such electrode arrays. The face of Abraham Lincoln was encoded with a palette of three grey-scale levels (Fig. 1A and B), converted into three current intensities. Finite-element modelling was used to simulate the current density distribution in the retinal tissue above the electrode arrays. Fig. 1 illustrates the current densities 40 µm above the cathode for the four configurations considered: i) planar electrode array with a returning ground grid (Fig. 1C), ii) 3D electrode array with a returning ground grid (D), iii) planar electrode array with a distant ground (E), iv) 3D electrode array with a distant ground (F). When calculated on a line running 20 µm above the stimulating cathodes (Fig. 2A–D), the current densities presented square curves above active electrodes within the 3D structures, with or without a ground grid, whereas they yielded peaks above active electrodes on the flat arrays. The introduction of a ground grid (Fig. 2C and D) suppressed the relatively high current measured above inactive electrodes in conditions with a distant ground (Fig. 2A and B), with this baseline current level increasing.
towards the ground. Quantification of the current densities at a 20 µm distance from all electrodes confirmed this large baseline current in configurations with a distant ground (Fig. 21). As a consequence, the differences of current densities between positions above active and inactive electrodes are greater in arrays with a ground grid than those generated by the corresponding array with a distant ground. The greatest differences are produced by the 3D array with a ground grid. However, the 3D array with a distant ground is in a similar range or even better than the flat array with a ground grid. The worst case is the flat array with a distant ground, this configuration showing high variability in elicited current densities above inactive and stimulated electrodes limiting thereby the distinction between white and grey levels (Fig. 2I). At a greater distance from the array (40 µm above the electrodes), the results showed a great reductions of current densities except for the flat array with a distant ground. For the intermediate grey-level stimulations, 3D arrays still show clear peaks of current densities above electrodes (Fig. 2F and H), which are less distinguishable with planar arrays (Fig. 2E and G). However, the quantification of current densities indicated similar differences between grey levels except for the planar array with a ground grid. Again, the planar array with a distant ground exhibits a greater variability in each group limiting thereby the distinctions between grey levels (Fig. 2J). The effect of placing the distant counter electrode eccentrically above the lower right corner of the Lincoln image (rather than above its centre) can be seen on the plots with distant ground configurations (Fig. 2A–B, E–F). In such instances, the baseline current density increases with decreasing distance from the counter electrode, as all the return charge from all pixels converges on the ground. No such effect is seen for configurations with a grid ground electrode, for which all plots have a constant baseline (Fig. 2C–D, G–H). These above advantages of 3D implants in neuronal stimulations justify the need to assess innovative materials on such 3D structures. However, these advantages are expected provided neurones to be stimulated into 3D structures. Therefore, to assess the biocompatibility of diamond and assess neuronal integration in the 3D implants, we developed a fabrication process to generate diamond electrodes on a 3D flexible implant.

3.2. Production of a diamond-coated 3D flexible foil

The ability to produce flexible substrates conforming to the curvature of retinal tissues appears to be essential for the maintenance of a correct tissue interface. However, the classical synthesis techniques used to grow diamond are based on high temperatures and microwave plasma techniques that cannot be applied to biocompatible soft substrates. We therefore developed a new solution based on a peel-off process, in which the soft polyimide polymer was deposited on top of a 3D patterned diamond layer. We first generated the 3D structures by preparing silicon moulds by KOH wet etching, to generate truncated pyramids. These pyramids were obtained by adding a structure to compensate for the etching speed of the 110 and 100 oriented crystalline planes. The process was stopped when the pyramids on the silicon moulds had typically attained a height of 30 µm (Fig. 3). Diamond was then grown on these 3D silicon moulds, as follows: 1) seeding of the silicon mould with nano-diamond particles (approximately 5 nm in diameter), 2) sputtering and patterning of an aluminium mask on the silicon mould by photolithography, 3) etching away of the unprotected nano-diamond particles by reactive ion etching (RIE) under oxygen plasma, 4) removal of the aluminium by wet etching, 5) growth of a diamond layer (approximately 300 µm thick) around the nano-diamond particles in a microwave plasma enhanced chemical vapour deposition (MPECVD) reactor. The diamond layer was spin-coated with a 10 µm-thick layer of polyimide (PI 2611), which was then cured. Classical polymer etching was used to define the shape of the implant. Finally, removal of the sacrificial oxide layer led to the release of individual diamond-coated 3D implants.

3.3. Biocompatibility

For investigation of the biocompatibility of diamond in vivo, soft polyimide implants with or without diamond or platinum coatings were inserted into the subretinal space of P23H rats, an animal model of retinitis pigmentosa at an age at which the photoreceptors have degenerated. The correct insertion of the implants was checked in vivo using a Micron III numerical endoscope. Images of the eye fundus are provided for the various implants in Fig. 4: purely polyimide, metallic, and diamond-coated. The presence of retinal blood vessels above the devices confirmed their subretinal positions. This examination also made it possible to visualise the disappearance of the subretinal bleb generated for introduction of the subretinal implant. In our investigations of diamond biocompatibility, we had to examine the retinal tissue in the vicinity of the implant. Classically, such examinations are carried out on semi-thin sections or cryostat sections, on which cells can be identified by immunostaining [19–21,36]. This approach is entirely feasible with soft material dummies (e.g. polyimide) [37], but it is very difficult to cut prototypes containing other hard materials, such as diamond. Implant removal is not a viable option, because the 3D structure enhancing the tissue/interface would complicate the operation. Instead, we developed an innovative approach based on direct confocal imaging of the implant/tissue eye cup whole mounts. We assessed biocompatibility in vivo by visualising cell nuclei and ON bipolar

![Diagram](image-url)
cell neurons in the 3D wells on whole-mount preparations. The immunostaining protocol was adapted to preserve the implant/tissue interaction while allowing the antibody to diffuse over a distance of 100 μm within the retinal tissue (see methods). Fig. 5 illustrates such confocal images of the tissue/implant interface for a diamond implant, along views corresponding to different z stacks (view “a”: top of the cavities, view “b”: bottom of the cavities), shown both with top views (A–F) and orthogonal views (G–H). Cell nuclei were labelled with DAPI (blue) and ON bipolar neurons were immunolabelled with Goα antibodies (green). The ×40 magnification of the retina/implant whole mount makes it possible to visualise the DAPI-stained nuclei in all four cavities. Both the orthogonal views (x–z axes) and the vertical retinal sections show that retinal bipolar neurons fill the entire cavity, right down to the bottom (H). These data demonstrate that the residual retina is plastic enough to mould itself into the 3D implant wells.

We assessed the biocompatibility of the materials by quantifying cell occupancy in the cavities (Fig. 6). This quantification was obtained by generating the 3D reconstruction of the content for each individual well of an implant as illustrated in Fig. 6(A–C). Our strategy for assessing the material biocompatibility has been to quantify immunolabelled ON bipolar cells to demonstrate the survival of these neurons targeted by subretinal electrical stimulations. However, to define if the material triggered reactive gliosis, we first calculated the total number of cell nuclei because glial cell proliferation would be expected to increase their number and thus to

---

**Fig. 4.** Eye fundus of P23H rats with implanted polyimide (A), diamond (B) and metallic (C) devices. The scale bar is 500 μm.

**Fig. 5.** Confocal imaging of stained retinae in contact with implants, 2 views. A–C: top views of whole-mount retinae along view “a” (top of the cavities); D–F: top views along view “b” (bottom of the cavities); G–H: orthogonal views indicating the points from which views “a” and “b” were taken. A, D, G: DAPI staining of all cell nuclei; B, E, H: ON bipolar cells stained with anti-Goα antibody; C, F: coloured merged images of both DAPI and Goα staining (DAPI in blue and Goα in green). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
decrease the ratio between neuronal numbers to all cell numbers. The quantification of all cell nuclei was achieved by defining the fluorescent spheres corresponding to DAPI nuclear staining. This quantification indicated that the density of cell nuclei in the implant cavities was greater for polyimide implants (1.50 ± 0.023 × 10⁻³ cells/µm³) than for diamond- (1.10 ± 0.079 × 10⁻³ cells/µm³) or platinum-coated (1.21 ± 0.189 × 10⁻³ cells/µm³) implants. These cells can either be neurons of the inner retina (Bipolar cells, horizontal cells, amacrine cells) or glial cells (Müller macroglial cells, microglial cells). Because subretinal implants are intended to depolarize ON bipolar cells, we quantified these neurons in the 3D wells following their immunolabelling. The quantification demonstrated a stability of the ON bipolar cell densities for the different implants except for a platinum-coated implant (Fig. 6H and I). Finally, to get an estimation of retinal gliosis, we calculated the ratio of bipolar cells to all cell nuclei. This ratio was greater with diamond-coated implants (39.4 ± 2.3%) than with the polyimide (28.9 ± 1.2%) or platinum-coated implants (26.7 ± 3.5%) (Fig. 6). A lack of biocompatibility is expected to induce neuronal degeneration and an associated reactive gliosis with a proliferation of glial cells, which would thus result in a decrease in the neurone to glial cell ratio. Therefore, the higher ratio of bipolar cells to all cells in the diamond wells is consistent with a greater biocompatibility of diamond than

![Image](image_url)

**Fig. 6.** Quantification of bipolar cells within the 3D electrodes for each material. A–C: Image processing for cell counting with preprocessing (A), Imaris nucleus counting (B) and manual bipolar cell counting (C); D–I: plots of cell numbers for each cavity; D: number of cell nuclei per volume; E: mean number of cell nuclei per volume; F: proportion of bipolar cells, expressed as a percentage of the total number of cell nuclei; G: mean value of the ratio of bipolar cells to total nuclei; H: number of bipolar cells per volume; I: mean number of bipolar cells per volume.
polyimide alone or platinum. The absence of a massive inflammatory reaction and the presence of many bipolar neurons in the wells suggest that the various materials used, including diamond in particular, are not toxic to retinal neurons.

3.4. Characterization of diamond implants

The original process used here made it possible to produce soft implants with several 3D wells, which were either left uncoated or were coated with either diamond or platinum. The diamond coating covered the entire area of the implant visible on the photograph in Fig. 7B and E, including the walls and the bottom of the cavities, whereas in the case of platinum the metal coverage appears in light grey colour in panels C and F. Although the numerous processes that significantly altered the edges of the thin polyimide foils, the images display that the surface qualities remained unchanged during the implantation period. For all these implants, residual cells or tissues are visible within the cavities on the enlarged views (D–F).

Fig. 7. Examination of the materials used by scanning electron microscopy, following in vivo implantation: after fabrication during which the polyimide layer is lifted off the structure shown in Fig. 3C, the 20 μm-thick foils were surgically implanted in rats for 8 weeks, then explanted and prepared for SEM observations. The pictures display the naked polyimide surface (A, D), and the same covered by a thin diamond layer (B, E), or metal (C, F). Although the numerous processes that significantly altered the edges of the thin polyimide foils, the images display that the surface qualities remained unchanged during the implantation period. For all these implants, residual cells or tissues are visible within the cavities on the enlarged views (D–F).

polyimide alone or platinum. The absence of a massive inflammatory reaction and the presence of many bipolar neurons in the wells suggest that the various materials used, including diamond in particular, are not toxic to retinal neurons.

3.4. Characterization of diamond implants

The original process used here made it possible to produce soft implants with several 3D wells, which were either left uncoated or were coated with either diamond or platinum. The diamond coating covered the entire area of the implant visible on the photograph in Fig. 7B and E, including the walls and the bottom of the cavities, whereas in the case of platinum the metal coverage appears in light grey colour in panels C and F. Following in vivo implantation, the surfaces of the implants were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), to assess the physical stability of the implants (results for all three materials tested are shown in Fig. 7). On the polyimide implant (A, D), the surface of the material appears similar to that of the freshly produced implants, with no visible defect. Note that the white traces visible on Fig. 7A are due to charge accumulation on this insulating surface during SEM imaging. Similarly, the diamond films (B, E) showed no discontinuities and the surface was correctly covered. Nevertheless, the very fine cracks observed at the edges of the diamond wells (already present before implantation) indicate that the deposition and growth of the material could be optimised further. Unlike conventional polycrystalline diamond, the diamond surfaces appeared very smooth. This smoothness was a consequence of the process used, with the exposed side of the diamond originally in contact with the silicon surface. Finally, on the metallic implants (C, F), the light grey areas corresponding to the platinum coating also appeared to be free of significant defects and darker due to the presence of organic matter
(residual cells). Thus, neither the diamond nor platinum surfaces were damaged by implantation despite the long process from surgery to the cleaning for SEM examination including the immuno- labelling and flat mount observation. For all these implants, some cells or tissue remained visible on the implants, particularly within the cavities, as observed on the enlarged views (D–F). This observation confirmed the deep integration of the tissue into the 3D implants, regardless of the material used.

4. Discussion and conclusions

Previous psychophysical studies have demonstrated that retinal implants can allow face recognition, independent locomotion and text reading if they generate at least 600 independent pixels [12–14]. This requires independent stimulation by the individual electrodes of an implant. Current retinal implants are based on classical monopolar stimulation between a stimulating electrode and a distant ground, but other configurations have been proposed, to increase the resolution of individual electrodes. These other configurations include bipolar stimulation between two neighbouring or concentric electrodes, the quasimonopolar or the introduction of a local returning ground grid [16,17]. Ground grids have already been introduced into some of the planar subretinal implants currently undergoing preclinical testing [5]. In this study, we confirmed that local ground grids were able to decrease current densities in areas surrounding stimulated zones. As previously described [16], we confirmed that a ground grid on a planar array can decrease the current densities above non-stimulated areas. However, we show further that the ground grid on a planar array also decreases the current densities just above stimulated areas requiring therefore higher injected currents to reach an activation threshold. This conclusion is not valid for 3D arrays with a ground grid at short distances (20 μm) but becomes true at greater distance. The use of 3D electrode designs has also been proposed as a means of increasing the resolution of electrical stimulation by restricting the electrical field within cavities between bipolar electrodes [20–22]. We confirmed that 3D configurations increased the local resolution of stimulations with very high current densities within the 3D well. Surprisingly, we also found that, even with a distant ground, 3D configurations also generated very high current densities within the well whilst yielding lower current densities in unstimulated areas than for the planar configuration.

However, the use of a 3D structure is advantageous only if the neuronal tissue remains sufficiently plastic to mould itself onto the 3D structure, to place neurons between the electrodes. Palmer and his group have shown that the cavities in 3D structures can fill with cell bodies and neurons, depending on the size of the cavity opening [19]. The production of 3D implants should make it possible to position neurons between two electrodes of opposing polarities [21]. The retina has even been shown to mould around pillars [19]. However, given that it may be necessary to remove retinal implants, we preferred well shapes over pillar structures [20]. As previously described in RCS rats [19,21], we confirmed in P23H rats, another rodent model of retinitis pigmentosa [38], that the degenerated retina can mould around 3D structures. In a preliminary study, we reported such integration for some retinal sections, but tissue sectioning disrupted the tissue/implant interface [20]. It was therefore not possible to characterize the tissue within the well correctly and, therefore to quantify the bipolar cell-neurons present in this volume. In this study, we showed, by imaging retinal whole mounts, that the tissue was in intimate contact with the structures tested: polyimide, platinum, diamond. We reconstituted the contents of the well and quantified the bipolar neurons present in this small volume. The presence of many bipolar cells in such a well demonstrates the feasibility of activating a retinal column independently of the neighbouring retinal columns in other wells. Our 3D design for subretinal implants would therefore allow the production of independent pixels for each electrode. Electrode impedances were recently reported for chronic implantation [39]. Further studies are required to determine whether similar chronic implantations of 3D designs really do increase the resolution of individual stimulations. Such 3D implant arrays of electrodes could be activated by an ASIC either tethered by wires as in the Argus II implant [40] or connected on the backside of the implant as in the sub-retinal electronic implant alpha-IMS [1]. However, the production of photosensitive electrode arrays could also solve the difficult issue of tethering the 3D implant to an ASIC [5]. The use of flexible photosensitive polymers would be an additional advantage to enhance the implant/tissue interface [6,7].

Close proximity between tissue and electrode is required for prosthetic applications, as this decreases the diffusion of stimulating currents and increases their geometric localisation. Such tight interactions are required particularly for the development of neuroprostheses, accounting for current interest in the development of novel biocompatible materials with good electronic properties. In addition, types of currents can be generated by electrodes for the electrical stimulation of a neuronal structure: 1) faradic currents, involving chemical oxidation/reduction reactions; 2) capacitive currents, resulting purely from charge accumulation. In neuronal prostheses, capacitative stimulation is favoured, as it limits pH variation at the surface of the electrode [23]. In the context of visual implants, the need to use small electrodes with a high resolution introduces a supplementary risk of tissue and electrode degradation, resulting from the injection of larger charge densities. It is therefore essential to use materials with high charge injection limits, such as diamond. There has been considerable interest in the use of this carbon-based material for such applications in recent years. Once doped with boron, diamond has excellent electronic and electrochemical properties and is chemically and mechanically inert [41]. The potential of such diamond electrodes for the stimulation of retinal neurons has been demonstrated in acute implantation experiments [28]. The biocompatibility of diamond was first demonstrated with embryonic cortical neurons and stem cells [29–32] and even retinal neurons [33]. Our results further demonstrate the in vivo biocompatibility of diamond on flexible implants.

This study confirms that 3D-structured electrodes are advantageous in the design of retinal implants, as they greatly increase the resolution of stimulation. Our findings also highlight the considerable benefits of diamond as an attractive electrode material for neuroprostheses.
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